

Communities In Schools of North Carolina is leading the national network in providing the most effective student supports and wraparound interventions and supports directly in schools to support students and teachers. Working collaboratively with 400 schools across North Carolina, Communities In Schools impacts the lives of more than 230,000 youth each year. Driven by research-based practices surrounding the best predictors of student success – attendance, behavior, coursework and parent and family engagement – Communities In Schools is changing the picture of education for students across North Carolina. Learn more about Communities In Schools of North Carolina at www.cisnc.org.



The Nonprofit Evaluation Support Program (NESP) is a collaborative effort between two University of North Carolina Greensboro organizations – The SERVE Center and The Office of Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Services (OAERS). NESP's mission is to provide program evaluation services and program evaluation capacity building support to nonprofit and community-based organizations while providing authentic learning experiences for future leaders in the field of program evaluation.



The SERVE Center at The University of North Carolina Greensboro is a university-based research, development, dissemination, evaluation, and technical assistance center. For more than 24 years, SERVE Center has worked to improve K-12 education by providing evidence-based resources and customized technical assistance to policymakers and practitioners.



The University of North Carolina Greensboro (UNCG) is one of the sixteen university campuses of The University of North Carolina. UNCG holds two classifications from the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, as a "research university with high research activity" and for "community engagement" in curriculum, outreach, and partnerships.

Copyright © 2015. Communities In Schools of North Carolina (CISNC). All rights reserved. No portion of this document may be copied or reproduced in whole or in part without the express permission of Communities In Schools of North Carolina.

Communities In Schools of North Carolina

222 North Person Street, Suite 203 | Raleigh, NC 27601 Phone: (919) 832-2700 | Toll Free: (800) 849-8881 | Fax: (919) 832-5436 http://www.cisnc.org/



Table of Contents

Overview	1
CISNC Introduction	
Using Evidenced-Based Strategies	
Problem/Rationale	
Purpose	3
Implementation Plan	
Uses	
Audiences	
Materials/Equipment/Space	3
Time	
Sample Intervention – Social Skills Training	4
Suggested Supplemental Activities	8
Resources	g
Measuring Success	11
Appendices	
A. References	
B. Research Alignment	



Overview

CISNC Introduction

In the 2014-2015 school-year, Communities In Schools of North Carolina (CISNC) introduced a framework that aligns site and student metrics and interventions and supports to four areas that have been shown to have the greatest impact on student success: attendance, behavior, coursework, and parent involvement, or ABC+P. Both combined and individually, attendance, behavior, and coursework are among the best predictors of a student's academic success and on-time graduation. While collecting data around ABC+P is critically important to understanding the school and student, it is even more important to use the data to drive high impact intervention and support delivery to empower each student to reach their full potential. To this end, Communities In Schools of North Carolina has partnered with the SERVE Center at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro to design curricula specifically for CIS within the ABC+P framework to enhance student outcomes in school and success in life. This document is one of more than 50 modules developed to support local CIS staff and most importantly the students that are served. We encourage you to explore all of the modules available online at www.cisnc.org.

Using Evidenced-Based Strategies

There are a multitude of strategies that claim to address attendance, but there are few that actually do so for all students. We suggest that schools use an evidence-based, decision-making model to ensure that high quality information informs the decisions made.

The Institute of Education Sciences (IES) at the U.S. Department of Education defines evidence-based decision making as routinely seeking out the best available information on prior research and recent evaluation findings before adopting programs or practices that will demand extensive material or human resources (including both funding and teacher time) and/or affect significant numbers of students (Whitehurst, 2004).

CISNC uses the Response to Intervention (RTI) framework as the basis for its practices. RTI is a multitiered framework of academic and behavioral interventions that require school staff to make instructional decisions based on data. This document focuses on a Tier 2 strategy. Tier 2 strategies typically focus on students who have not responded to Tier 1 supports and includes supplemental instruction and interventions that are periodically monitored to ensure students are responding to the supports. Tier 2 supports are targeted, structured, explicit and can take place in small groups or general education classrooms.

CISNC calls for the use of evidence-based interventions versus generally researched practices. The National Center on Response to Intervention (NCRTI) defines evidence-based interventions as:

... an intervention for which data from scientific, rigorous research studies have demonstrated (or empirically validated) the efficacy of the intervention.



Applying findings from experimental studies, single-case studies, or strong quasi-experimental studies, an evidence-based intervention improves student learning beyond what is expected without that intervention (Center on Response to Intervention [Center on RTI] at American Institutes for Research and the National Center on Intensive Intervention (NCII), 2014, p. 4).

A research based curricula "may" incorporate strategies that have been generally researched, but not studied using a rigorous research design. The following suggestion is based on interventions that have been studied using a scientific, rigorous research design. When incorporated with fidelity and as part of a systematic process, students should positively respond to these strategies.

This document is written to provide schools with behavior management strategies based on the best evidence from prior research and recent evaluations in middle schools. In the context of our review, we propose two strategies designed to assist students that are experiencing behavioral challenges:

- Behavior Contracts
- Social Skills Training Class/Seminar

This document will focus on one easy to implement strategy for using Social Skills Training as a Tier 2 intervention.

Problem/Rationale

Implicit in the ABC+P framework is the focus on behavioral issues and how one area of the framework impacts another. Many behavior problems are social skills problems, which over time, become intertwined with the students' academic trajectory. Students' inability to control their behavior can isolate them from their peers, disrupt the class, and limit their ability to advance in various settings. Frank Gresham (2015) states, "Research demonstrates that students who have positive social interactions and relationships with their peers are more academically engaged and have higher levels of academic achievement (p. 101)." Furthermore, addressing behavioral challenges can have a positive impact not only on student behavior, but also their academic achievement.

One strategy to address behavioral challenges is teaching students social skills. In an IES practice guide, *Reducing Behavior Problems in the Elementary School Classroom: A Practice Guide*, Epstein et. al. (2008) state that there is strong evidence that explicitly teaching behavioral skills can reduce inappropriate behaviors. While some social skills interventions can be addressed schoolwide/classwide (Fairbanks, Simonsen & Sugai, 2008; Simonsen et. al., 2012), other situations call for small group and individualized instruction.



Purpose

While there are several curricula that can be purchased, it is important to tailor the curriculum to your school environment. Patterson et al. (2006) state, "SST can be implemented with a published curriculum or by making appropriate modifications to present classroom practices that are anchored in various conceptual frameworks." Sugai and Lewis (1996) provide an 8-step framework for creating your own curriculum. The eight major components include: name of skills, critical rule being taught, description of skill and skill components, model/demonstration, role play/behavioral rehearsal, review, test, and homework assignment. This document includes two examples of minilessons using this framework. In addition, Holder et al. (2008) state that this type of training does not happen in isolation, but with a combination of strategies from Character Education, Positive Behavior Support (PBIS), Social and Emotional Learning, and Response to Intervention. In addition to teaching students social skills, incorporate other behavior modification strategies and monitor students' ability to apply this knowledge in various settings.

Implementation Plan

Uses

Student Support Specialists can use the information provided in this guide to develop and implement a Social Skills Seminar for students in grades 6-8.

Audiences

The primary audience is the CISNC Student Support Specialist.

Materials/Equipment/Space

- Classroom space for a small group
- Student records
- Additional supplies for mini-lessons (e.g., notecards, checkers game, etc.)

Note: For presentations, check for access to computer, Smartboard or data projector and screen, relevant power cords, and remote slide advancer.

Time

Social Skills Seminar should take place at least 30 minutes per week for 6 to 9 weeks (1 quarter). It should also be scheduled early in the day so that the students are attentive.



Sample Intervention - Social Skills Training

Activity	Process Notes
Identify students with the Student Support Team.	The Student Support Team reviews the data to determine which students should receive social skills training and the Student Support Specialist provides the instruction.
	Some data sources include: teacher referrals, visits to the Principal's/Assistant Principal's office, other discipline referrals, etc.
Prior to finalizing your seminar.	Prior to you finalizing the curriculum, you will need to assess who needs instruction and what you need to teach. In addition, you will need to build a monitoring component into your weekly lessons so that you can determine if students are adequately progressing.
	In order to determine the specific skills you will address, some types of assessments to consider include: rating scales, tests, interviews or direct observations.
	For example, the majority of problem behaviors may be occurring at the end of the class periods (during the last 10 minutes). Therefore, some explicit routines may need to be taught to students.
	Address those skills that emerge from your assessment.
	Other tasks: - Prepare a "nomination" letter for teachers describing your club and asking them for the names of students who might benefit from the club Prepare a letter for parents describing the club and confirming their student's participation.
Introduction to Social SkillsSeminar (may want to call it something else).Define social skills.State why social skills are important.	Explain to students that their behavior has an impact on their relationships with peers and teachers. In addition, when their behavior becomes too disruptive they miss class time and then hamper their academic growth. Through this seminar they will be reminded of some behaviors they already know and be exposed to new ones. But mostly importantly, they will have an opportunity to learn and practice new behaviors.
	 What are social skills? You may want to use the definitions for "social competence" and "social skills" in the Timothy Lewis presentation on the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, PBIS Technical Assistance Center website: https://www.pbis.org/resource/679/implementing-effective-social-skill-instruction-across-the-continuum-of-sw-pbs-supports-chicago-forum-07
	Why are they important in academic and non-academic settings? - In a Social Skill Instruction document, U.S. OSEP (n.d.) states, "The ability of children to interact effectively with peers, teachers, and families is crucial to their social-behavioral development and adjustment at school. Further, poor social-behavioral skills correlate highly with children's low academic achievement, especially their reading ability. Children with antisocial behavior patterns are at early



Activity	Process Notes
	risk of poor adjustment to school." - You may want to use rationale described in the Teri Lewis-Palmer presentation on the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, PBIS Technical Assistance Center website: https://www.pbis.org/resource/112/embedding-social-skills-instruction-throughout-the-day-oregon-positive-behavior-support-training
Activity: Sharing with Others/Cooperation Skills	Process Notes
Set up lesson.	Remind the students about the concepts of choice and consequence.
	Say: When we are young, we often think that we are invincible and we don't always think before we act. However, for each decision we make, for each choice we make, there is a consequence. Sometimes those consequences are negative. Today, we want to discuss our decision-making process and steer away from negative consequences.
	Define consequence: result or outcome.
	Resources for this lesson: - Baylor University's Community Mentoring for Adolescent Development document, "Goal-setting and Decision-making." http://www.mentoring.org/downloads/mentoring_429.pdf - "Lesson: Making Choices" http://www.eusd.org/Schools/hidden_valley/PBIS/U1_beforeAfterScho
Inchanalyou	ol-making%20choices.doc
Icebreaker.	Provide each student with a notecard. Ask the student to answer the following questions: - What has been the most important decision you have ever made? Or, Would anyone like to share a decision you recently made? - How did you make that decision?
Critical rule being taught.	Ask a few students to share their answers (ask for volunteers). We all make choices, but some choices have negative consequences and we
	want to learn how to make good choices for ourselves.
Describe skill.	In any situation there is a choice to be made. Take time to think about the pros and cons of a choice before acting. - Pro: A plus; an argument in favor of doing something. - Con: A minus; an argument against doing something.
Model/demonstrate the skill. (Option 1)	 Checkers Provide each group with a checker board and checkers. Set your timer for 1 minute and let the game begin. When the timer goes off, pause the game to ask students about some of the choices they have made. Set the timer for 2 minutes and let the game restart. When the timer goes off, pause the game and ask the students about some of the choices they have made.



	Even in something as simple as a game, one choice has an impact on everything else that happens. Think about when we disrupt a classyou get referred to the Assistant Principal, the Assistant Principal suspends you, you get in trouble with your parents, you miss class time and therefore don't do well on a critical exam(you can play this out for as long as you want).				
	Our choices have a ripple effect.				
Model/demonstrate the skill. (Option 2)	Decision Making Develop some Decision Making Scenarios (using the typical challenges of a middle school student) or ask students to pretend that they have to make a choice about something important. - Ask students to consider the dilemma and write down what choice the person has to make. - Ask students to list as many choices as they can. - Ask students to list the consequences of one choice: the pros and cons.				
	What is the Dilemma?	/			
	What are some choices this perso				
	Select one choice to focus on: Wh	_			
	Pros	Cons			
		/			
	adaptable templates:	g Choices" for classroom activities and n valley/PBIS/U1 beforeAfterSchool-			
Review.	Remind students to think about the pmake a choice.	ros and cons of a situation before they			
Homework.	Ask students to keep track of any diff throughout the week.	icult decisions they had to make			
Preview what you will cover during the next session.	Next week we will				
Monitoring. (See Targeted Intervention Management Module)	 Monitoring is an ongoing process. Remember, the goal of providing Tier Two interventions and supports is to provide the appropriate interventions and supports to those students identified as needing additional supports so that they can be successful in school and life. 				
	Prior to your close out meeting, review your documentation and make some notes about the next steps. Is the student ready to transition from Tier Two to Tier One? Is the student in need of more individualized plans and should be placed in Tier Three?				
Activity: Using Self- Control/Self-Control Skills	Process Notes				
Welcome.	Recap the skill learned during the las	t session and ask if anyone wants to			



about being able to regulate yourself (Dewar, 2014,

Introduce the concept of self-control: Some think of it as willpower, but it is

share how they practiced the skill.

Describe skill and its

components.

Activity: Using Self- Control/Self-Control Skills	Process No	otes						
	www.parentingscience.com/teaching -self-control.html)							
	Ask your st							
	- What do				?			
	- Are you able to manage your emotions? You say something like: Thanks for sharing those examples.							
	You say something like: Sometimes, we have trouble regulating ourselves and today we are going to discuss some ways that we can meet that							
	challenge.			_				
Model/demonstrate the skill.	- Take a b	reath and	count to 1	0.				
	- Think ab	out your o	choices and	their conse	equences:			
	• Walk							
	 Use an 	"I" stater	nent.					
		adult to h	•					
			st choice an	d do it!				
Role play.	Think-pair							
	- Ask stud							
		-	•	as the beha			ppen?).	
	- Have two						-	
	- Ask the s				the negat	ive behavid	or but	
			utilizing se		1.1.			
	- Have the	e pairs of s	tudents act	t out their s	Kit.			
	Whole class	discussio	n: /					
	- What were some similarities they observed?							
	- Were the	e solutions	displayed	realistic?				
Review.	Discuss whe	n and wh	ere self-con	trol is impo	ortant at sc	hool.		
	Domein d the	atu danta i	.b. a.t. t.b. a.u.a. v	ساله عمد داند	ava ba aana		40	
	Remind the							
	monitor the actions.	ur benavid	r ana tney	nave to tak	e responsii	onity for th	ieir	
Homework.	1. Observe	how stude	ents or adu	lts use self-a	control dur	ing the we	ek Make a	
nome work.				strategies y			cn. Manc a	
	2. Complet						dents with	
				y and whet				
	the socia			,				
	Example of							
	Week of:							
	How well	did I do	using "self	f-control?"	i			
	E = Excell	ent G	= Good	F = Fair	P = P	oor		
	Sun	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri	Sat	
			_				_	



Activity: Using Self- Control/Self-Control Skills	Process Notes				
Preview what you will cover during the next session.	Next week we will				
Monitoring. (See Targeted Intervention Management Module)	 Monitoring is an ongoing process. Remember, the goal of providing Tier Two interventions and supports is to provide the appropriate interventions and supports to those students identified as needing additional supports so that they can be successful in school and life. Prior to your close out meeting, review your documentation and make 				
	some notes about the next steps. Is the student ready to transition from Tier Two to Tier One? Is the student in need of more individualized plans and should be placed in Tier Three?				

Suggested Supplemental Activities

Other common social skills that can be taught include: exercising patience, showing respect, listening, being prepared, helping others, requesting help and accepting differences (DWW, Planning Together: Aligning Classroom Rules with Positive Behavior Skills, http://dwwlibrary.wested.org/media/planning-together-aligning-classroom-rules-with-po)

As you continue to develop and refine your lesson plans, please take a look at the Simonsen et. al. (2012) article; in particular they include a lesson plan template (p. 262) that may be useful.

Simonsen, B., Myers, D., Everett, S., Sugai, G., Spencer, R., & LaBreck, C. (2012). Explicitly teaching social skills schoolwide: Using a matrix to guide instruction. *Intervention in School And Clinic*, 47(5), 259-266.



Resources

The following resources are identified as part of the activity. Read through these resources carefully to become familiar with any concepts and instructions as they pertain to the content and activity.

Character Education - http://character.org/

Formerly Character Education Partnership, character.org is a nonprofit organization that strives to ensure young people are becoming ethical and engaged citizens. It is an online resource center for educators, students, parents and the community. Character.org provides many lesson plans for K-12 and each lesson plan relates to their 11 Principles of Effective Character Education.

National Association of School Psychologists (NASP) - http://www.nasponline.org/

NASP is an online resource for schools, parents and teachers to promote social skills and its impact on positive behavior, academic success, and school safety. Resources include types of social skills, identifying social skills deficits, interventions/training, and examples of evidence-based social skills programs.

Peer Mediators A Complete School Curriculum - http://www.peermediators.org/

Peer Mediators provides an extensive collection of peer mediation training resources for those who seek to help youth more constructively engage peer conflicts. The curriculum combines program and training objectives from various standards and best practices guidelines from the youth development, peer mediation and conflict resolution fields.

School Mediation Associates - http://www.schoolmediation.com/index.html

School Mediation Associates provides services for mediation through trainings, books, videos and newsletters to students (grades 4 through college), teachers, administrators, staff and parents. Their mission is to transform schools into safer, more caring, and more effective institutions.

Epstein, M., Atkins, M., Cullinan, D., Kutash, K., & Weaver, R. (2008).

Reducing behavior problems in the elementary school classroom (NCEE2008-102). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieve from http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/pdf/practice-guides/behavior-pg-092308.pdf

Social Skills Training Programs

"Stop and Think" Social Skills Program (part of Project ACHIEVE)

http://projectachieve.info/stop-think/social-skills-program.html

The PREPARE Curriculum: Goldstein, A. P. (1999). *The Prepare Curriculum: Teaching prosocial competencies. (Rev. ed.)* Champaign, IL, US: Research Press https://www.researchpress.com/books/818/prepare-curriculum



McGinness, E. & Goldstein, A. P. (1997). *Skillstreaming the elementary school child*. Champaign, IL: Research Press.

The following resources will provide additional information and suggestions for enhancing activities related to social skill development.

Brady, M.E., Leffert, J.S., Siperstein, G.N., & Hudson, L. (2007).

Social skills tools for teachers. Retrieved 8/14/15 from the University of Massachusetts Boston, Center for Social Development and Education Web site: www.csde.umb.edu/ToolsforTeachers.php

Richardson, J. (2014). Social Stories™ - The "who, what, how and whys."

Presentation at the NCDPI 64th Conference on Exceptional Children. http://ec.ncpublicschools.gov/conferences-profdev/annual-conference/2014/materials/94.pdf

Note: All posters, images, and activity guides identified are copyright cleared for non-commercial use.



Measuring Success

Identifying outcomes and collecting data to measure the success of the intervention can help track the quality of implementation as well as the effectiveness of the intervention. In addition to state/district benchmark assessments, following are some additional suggestions that may be useful to measure success.

- Social Skills Improvement System Rating Scales (SSIS-RS)—Gresham & Elliott, 2008.
 - Used to identify social skills acquisition and performance deficits.
 - 46 social skills across seven domains (cooperation, communication, assertion, responsibility, empathy, engagement & self-control).
 - o 4-point frequency scale of never, selfdom, often and almost always.
- Decrease in discipline referrals.
- Decrease in days suspended.
- Ratings by others.
 - Classroom teacher survey.
 - Ask about the changes in the participating student's behavior.
 - Ask about the social skills observed.
 - Parent survey.
 - Ask about changes in child's academic competence.
 - Ask about any behavior changes since the child started participation in the seminar.
- Self-ratings.
 - Student survey.
 - Ask about the utility of the seminar.
 - Ask about any changes in their behavior.



Appendices

- A. References
- **B.** Research Alignment



Appendix A: References

- Center on Response to Intervention (Center on RTI) at American Institutes for Research and the National Center on Intensive Intervention (NCII), (March 2014). *RTI glossary of terms*. Center on RTI and NCII: Washington, DC.
- Epstein, M., Atkins, M., Cullinan, D., Kutash, K., and Weaver, R. (2008). *Reducing behavior problems in the elementary school classroom: A practice guide* (NCEE #2008-012). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/publications/practiceguides
- Fairbanks, S., Simonsen, B., & Sugai, G. (2008). Classwide secondary and tertiary tier practices and systems. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 40(6), 44-52.
- Fuller, M., Lewis, T. J., & Sugai, G. (1995). Social skills instruction in schools: A survey of teachers in Oregon public schools (Behavior Disorders Research Report No. 4). Eugene: University of Oregon, Behavior Disorders Program.
- Gresham, F. (2015). Evidence-based social skills interventions for students at risk for EBD. *Remedial and Special Education*, *36*(2), 100-104.
- Gresham, F. M., & Elliott, S. N. (1990). *Social skills rating system.* Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service.
- Gresham, F. M., & Elliott, S. N. (2008). *Social skills improvement system-rating scales*. Minneapolis, MN: Pearson Assessments.
- Holder, C., Whetstone, P., & Sheinker, J. (2008). When research meets practice: Using metacognitive strategies to teach social skills. *International Journal of Learning*, 15(8), 205-212.
- Patterson, D. S., Jolivette, K., & Crosby, S. (2006). Social skills training for students who demonstrate poor self-control. *Beyond Behavior*, *15*(3), 23-27.
- Simonsen, B., Myers, D., Everett, S., Sugai, G., Spencer, R., & LaBreck, C. (2012). Explicitly teaching social skills schoolwide: Using a matrix to guide instruction. *Intervention in School And Clinic*, 47(5), 259-266.
- Sugai, G., & Lewis, T. J. (1996). Preferred and promising practices for social skills instruction. *Focus On Exceptional Children, 29*(4), 11.



- Tominey, S. L., & McClelland, M. M. (2011). Red light, purple light: Findings from a randomized trial using circle time games to improve behavioral self-regulation in preschool. *Early Education and Development*, *22*(3), 489-519.
- Wentzel, K. (2009). Peers and academic functioning at school. In K. Rubin, W. Bukowski, & B. Laursen (Eds.), *Handbook of peer interactions, relationships, and groups* (pp. 531-547). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Whitehurst, G. J. (2004, April). *Making education evidence-based: Premises, principles, pragmatics, and politics.* Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Institute for Policy Research, Distinguished Public Policy Lecture Series. Retrieved from http://www.northwestern.edu/ipr/events/lectures/DPPL-Whitehurst.pdf



Appendix C: Research Alignment

Citation	Brief Summary of	Sample	Impact/Evidence of	Implementation
Citation	Strategy	Size	Effectiveness	implementation
Allen, L. J., Howard, V. F.,	The purpose of this research was to	Three students	The overall results suggest that students increased their on task	Each contract was individualized and specified the behavior to be
Sweeney, W. J., &	evaluate the	enrolled in	attention when contingency	changed, the consequences
McLaughlin, T.F.	effectiveness of	2 nd or 3 rd	contracting was in effect.	employed, and duration of the
(1993). Use of	contingency	grade.		contract. The teacher and each
contingency	contracting with		During the first contingency-	student reviewed the student's
contracting to	primary age students		contracting phase, average on	performance at the end of the
increase on-task	displaying a wide		task behavior increased for each	school day.
behavior with	range of behaviors		student (Students 1, 2, and 3 =	The teacher and teacher's aide
primary students. Psychological	(poor completion of assignments, low		46.6%, 50%, and 40% respectively).	took student data for 60 minutes.
Reports, 72, 905-	attention to task,		respectively).	took student data for oo influtes.
906.	aimless wandering,		Reductions in on task behavior	
	and poor academic		were found when contingency	
	achievement).		contracting was removed.	
	The contract was		Average on task behavior	
	developed by the		increased for each student again	
	teacher and the		during the second contracting	
	consequences were		phase (Students 1, 2, and	
	selected by the students. On task		3=63.3%, 67%, and 60%	
	behavior was defined		respectively).	
	as remaining seated,		During the last baseline period	
	completing		performance remained high for	
	assignments, and		all students.	



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
	remaining attentive.			
	Students were evaluated with an ABABA single-subject replication design.			
Cheney, D. A., Stage, S. A., Hawken, L. S., Lynass, L., Mielenz, C., & Waugh, M. (2009). A 2-year outcome study of the check, connect, and expect intervention for students at risk for severe behavior problems. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders, 17(4), 226-243.	This study combined the primary features from both the C&C and BEP (Behavior Education Program) programs to assess the efficacy of the Check, Connect, and Expect (CCE) program on reducing problem behaviors and increasing social skills and academic performance of students with severe behavior problems.	Nine schools were assigned to each condition, intervention and comparison. The final sample of students included 121 1st - 3rd grade students in the intervention group and	Analysis of the SSRS Social Skills Scale showed the graduate group finished the study about eight standard score points below the comparison group, and the nongraduate group finished the study about four standard score points above the comparison group. The statistical analysis of slope shows that the graduate group significantly decreased in their problem behavior across the study compared with both the comparison and nongraduate groups. By the end of the intervention, the graduate group still	All students entered the CCE program as the basic level. In the Basic program, coaches checkedin students in the morning and checked-out students at dismissal. Coaches used a consistent routine during checkin and check-out. Success in the CCE Basic level was defined as the student earning more than 75% of possible points on more than 80% of days across an 8-week period. When students were successful at the Basic level, they entered Self-Monitoring . At this level, students rated their own
	from C&C and the BEP used in the CCE intervention include	86 comparison students.	maintained higher social skills than the comparison group with the non-graduate group showing	behavior on the DPR and compared it with teacher ratings. With partial agreement on 10 out



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
	the following: students checking in and out daily with adult mentors, students receiving DPRs from mentors, teachers providing behavioral feedback to students throughout the day on DPRs, mentors holding problem- solving sessions with students when they did not meet daily goals, students receiving feedback from mentors at check-out about whether daily behavior goals were met, mentors charting and reviewing DPR data weekly, and mentors using charted data to reinforce students		Taking the results for both the Externalizing and Internalizing Problem Behavior Scales together, the graduate group showed statistically significant lower externalizing and internalizing problem behavior scores at the end of the intervention as well as significant decreases over the intervention.	of 15 days, the student transitioned to Self-Monitoring only, in which the student independently rated himself on the DPR for a 2-week period. After meeting the Self-Monitoring criteria for at least 4 weeks, the student graduated. If students were not successful in Self-Monitoring, they returned to the Basic level for 4 weeks with an emphasis on understanding the teacher's scores to prepare them for Self-Monitoring. The Basic Plus level was for students that received additional services if they did not succeed at the Basic level when data were reviewed after the first 8 weeks. The coach provided tutoring for academic work completion when DPR data suggested that academic task completion was difficult and social skill instruction was provided from The Stop and Think Social Skills Program. The Basic Plus level



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
	when they met daily and weekly goals. Five levels were established in the intervention to monitor progress over time: basic, basic plus, intensive, self-monitoring and graduate. Students were identified using the Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders (SSBD). It is an instrument that allows teachers to nominate students who may be at risk for serious social, behavioral, or academic failure.			lasted 8 weeks and those students who successfully met their criteria on 80% of the days returned to the Basic level. Intensive: students who still did not meet their criterion on 80% of days after 8 weeks of Basic and then 8 weeks of Basic Plus were eligible for a functionally based behavior intervention using a multi-method multi-source procedure. The FBA procedure required a teacher interview using the Functional Assessment Checklist for Teachers and Staff, a student interview using the Student Directed Functional Assessment Interview, and five behavioral observations using conditional probabilities to see whether teacher attention, peer attention, or avoidance reliably followed the student's inappropriate behavior. One of three scripted interventions was used as a result: differential reinforcement when the function



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
				was teacher attention, differential reinforcement for appropriate behavior using free time after completing work tasks if the function was escape or the <i>Good Behavior Game</i> if the function of the inappropriate behavior was peer attention. Graduates and non-graduates: after meeting the Self-Monitoring criteria for at least 4 weeks, students graduated from the program. After graduation, students were provided with feedback on their behavior on a monthly basis for the duration of the school year, and the coach informally interacted with the students at least weekly. Nongraduates were those students enrolled in the program who did not meet criteria at the Basic level in order to move on to the Self-Monitoring level or who were not successful at the Self-Monitoring level. Also, students who were not successful in Basic



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
				Plus and moved on to the Intensive level were considered non-graduates.
Gresham, F. M., Van, M., & Cook, C. R. (2006). Social skills training for teaching replacement behaviors: Remediating acquisition deficits in at-risk students. Behavioral Disorders, 31(4), 363-377.	The purpose of the present study was to assess the effectiveness of a social skills intervention on a targeted group of students with social skill acquisition deficits. The participants selected were between the age of 6 and 8 years of age and were at risk for developing emotional and behavioral disorders. The design for each student was an ABAB, two baseline	Four students.	Outcome measures: Total Disruptive Behavior (TDB), Alone time (AT), and Negative social interaction (NSI). For Kev, SST was highly effective for TDB and NSI. It was moderately effective for AT. For Laurie, SST was effective for TDB and AT. It was less effective on NSI for her. For Debbie, SST was effective for NSI and moderately effective for TDB. It was less effective on AT for her. For Nate, SST was highly effective for TDB and AT. It was moderately effective for NSI. Combined, the group's total	(3 hours per week) using the Social Skills Intervention Guide (SSIG). The guide called for modeling, coaching, and behavioral rehearsals to remediate social skills acquisition deficits. Instruction was delivered in a small-group pullout setting. In addition to instruction, consultation and recommendations were provided to the students' teachers and parents. Four basic instructional variables were used to remediate students'
	ABAB, two baseline and two treatment		Combined, the group's total social skills score increased from	reductive procedures.



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
	condition design.		78.25 pretest to 101.25 posttest. Total problem behaviors decreased from 124 pretest to 102.75 posttest.	Verbal instruction involves using concrete and abstract concepts to teach social skills while modeled instruction delivers instruction visually to the learner so that he can learn how to combine and sequence the behavioral components of a given social skill. Rehearsal involves the repeated practice of a social skill once it has been learned and feedback/reinforcement procedures were used to enhance students' performances of acquired social skills.
Hawken, L. S., MacLeod, K. S., & Rawlings, L. (2007). Effects of the behavior education	The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of the BEP on problem behavior with 12 elementary school students.	12 students.	The primary dependent variables with the total number of office discipline referrals (ODRs) per group of three students per month.	Students who entered the BEP within 1 month of each other were grouped together for a total of four groups, with three students in each group.
program (BEP) on office discipline referrals of elementary school children. <i>Journal of</i>	The Behavior Education Program (BEP) is a modified		The BEP intervention was associated with reductions in the average total ODRs per month across all four groups. The BEP phase for Group 1 documents an	During baseline, typical school- wide behavior support procedures were in place for all students, including those participating in this study. The



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
Positive Behavior Interventions, 9(2), 94-101.	intervention implemented with students who are at- risk for more severe problem behaviors. The students exhibited a number of problem behaviors, including talking out; making inappropriate comments; failing to complete work; and failing to keep hands, feet, and objects to self.		average total of 3.67 ODRs per month, which represents a 51% reduction from baseline. Groups 2 and 3 averaged 1.75 and 2.67 total ODRs per month, respectively, following implementation of the BEP. These levels represent 46% and 36% reductions from baseline means. Group 4 demonstrated the smallest change from baseline with an average total of 1.5 ODRs per month, which represents a 25% reduction from baseline mean. Of the 12 students who received the intervention, 9 (75%) showed reductions in average referrals per month and this change was statistically significant.	total number of ODRs per month was summed for each group of three students. The BEP process involved the following five elements: First, students were required to "check in" with a paraprofessional before school. The paraprofessional provided the student with a Daily Progress Report (DPR) form that was carried to class for feedback throughout the day. Second, during natural transitions in the school day teachers would provide students with feedback on their DPRs. Third, at the end of the school day, students took the DPR to the paraprofessional to check out. Student percentage of points for the day was calculated, and students received praise and rewards if they met their daily point goal. For all students in this study, 80% of the total points earned was their daily point goal. Fourth, students



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
				then took their DPR home to be signed by a parent/guardian, and fifth, the Daily Progress Report was signed by a parent and returned the next morning.
Martini-Scully, D., Bray, M. A., & Kehle, T.J. (2000). A packaged intervention to reduce disruptive behaviors in general education students. <i>Psychology in Schools, 37</i> (2), 149-156.	The purpose of this study was to determine if a packaged intervention would decrease disruptive behaviors of two students. The foundation of the packaged intervention was the precision request program, but it also included contingency contracts, mystery motivators, a token economy, and public posting of classroom rules. Teachers were instructed to make	Two 8 year old students and one 8 year old students serving as the control.	At baseline, student 1's average disruptive behavior was 46%. During the treatment phase it decreased to an average of 15%. At withdrawal, disruptive behavior increased to an average of 24% and again decreased to 21% during treatment reinstatement. At baseline, student 2's average disruptive behavior was 35%. During the treatment phase it decreased to an average on 24%. At withdrawal, disruptive behavior on average was 25% and again decreased to 18% during treatment reinstatement. The control student's percentage of disruptive behavior remained constant with an average of 16%	Each phase of the research design (ABAB) was approximately two weeks. Baseline data was collected for 7 and 21 days for students 1 and 2 respectively. During the intervention stage the package treatment was explained to the teacher. Following teacher instruction, the entire program was explained to the students. Students signed the contingency contract after the fully understood and agreed to the intervention. During this phase, verbal reinforcement, token economy and mystery motivators were used.



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
	precision requests		across all four phases of the	After the two week intervention
	and wait five seconds before initiating the		study.	stage, the treatment was withdrawn for two weeks.
	second request. If the		Student satisfaction with the	withdrawn for two weeks.
	student complied		treatment was above average,	After the two week withdrawal
	within five seconds,		with a mean of 3 on a 4 point	phase, the treatment phase was
	the student was		scale. Teacher's rating revealed a	reinstated with the exception of
	given verbal		strong satisfaction with the	the reinforcers and mystery
	reinforcement. If the		treatment as indicated by a mean	motivators.
	second request was		of 4.7 on a 5 point scale.	
	given the word "need" was used in			
	the command. If the		/	
	student complied,			
	verbal reinforcement			
	was given and if not,			
	the opportunity to			
	receive a token was			
	lost for the day.			



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
Miller, D. L., & Kelley, M. L. (1994). The use of goal setting and contingency contracting for improving children's homework performance. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 27(1), 73-84.	The purpose of the study was to assess the efficacy of goal setting and contingency contracting for augmenting children's homework performance. Parents and children used homework goal worksheets to set challenging yet attainable homework goals for children. Parents and children also discussed rewards contingent on homework goals in contracts.	Four parent-child dyads. Students ranged in age from 9 to 11.	On task behavior: During baseline, on average Richard displayed on task behavior for 68% of intervals and increased his on task behavior to 97% of intervals during treatment. The percentage of on task behavior decreased to 67% at withdrawal and again increased to 97% are treatment reinstatement. Jenny's level of on task behavior during baseline averaged to 74%. On task behavior increased to 91% during treatment and decreased to 65% during withdrawal. With reintroduction of the treatment, on task behavior averaged 60% at baseline. During treatment, on task behavior increased to 88%. This percentage remained stable throughout the withdrawal and reinstatement phases.	An ABAB research design was used for this study. During baseline, parent and child conducted homework as usual, except they were seated in a quiet, secluded location with all materials accessible. During treatment, parents were given instructions on goal setting and contingency contracting. The homework goals worksheet was used in the goal setting process. Each week, the parent and child negotiated contracts that specified daily and weekly rewards contingent on achievement of homework goals and bringing materials home.



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
			Ann was on task for an average of 83% of intervals. On task behavior increased to 94% during the treatment phase. Her levels also remained stable throughout withdrawal and reinstatement phases.	
			Accuracy of completed homework: Richard's accuracy was 64% during baseline and increased to 85% during treatment. Accuracy decreased to 45% during withdrawal and again increased to 92% during final treatment phase.	
			Jenny achieved an accuracy score of 64% during baseline and increased to 92% during treatment. Return to baseline resulted in a decrease in accuracy to 75% which again increased to 90% during treatment reinstatement.	
			The accuracy of Adam's completed work averaged 71%	



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
			during baseline and increased to 91% during treatment. During withdrawal, it decreased to 70% but again increased to 91% during reinstatement. Ann averaged 64% accuracy during baseline and increased to 88% during treatment. At withdrawal, accuracy decreased to an average of 69% but increased to an average accuracy of 925 when goal setting and contingency contracting were reinstated.	
Miller, L. M., Dufrene, B. A., Sterling, H. E., Olmi, D. J., & Bachmayer, E. (2015). The Effects of Check- In/Check-Out on Problem Behavior and Academic Engagement in Elementary School Students. Journal	This study evaluated the effectiveness of Check-in/Check-out (CICO) for improving behavioral performance for three students referred for Tier 2 behavioral supports. Participants were three African American elementary	Three students.	The dependent variable was problem behavior, characterized as being off task, talking out, being out of their seat, having negative peer interactions and low academic engagement. During CICO, Connor and Oliver's problem behavior decreased and their academic engagement increased, remaining stable throughout the phase. Susan's initial levels of problem behavior	In baseline, dependent measures were evaluated in the absence of CICO and without students' knowledge. Daily direct observations were conducted in the class identified as most problematic and teachers completed the DBRCs throughout the day. Check-in: each morning, the student checked in with the CICO mentor who greeted the



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
Of Positive Behavior Interventions, 17(1), 28-38.	students who exhibited disruptive behavior despite exposure to Tier 1 of SWPBIS. Students had a CICO mentor who participated in the study. To be chosen, mentors had to be nominated by the student, be available to implement checkins and checkouts, and consent to participate. The students' other teachers also participated in the intervention, providing behavioral feedback and completing DBRCs.		and academic engagement were similar to baseline; however, she displayed a substantial decrease in problem behavior and increase in academic engagement on the fourth day of CICO, which remained stable over the rest of the phase. During withdrawal, all participants' problem behavior and academic engagement returned to levels similar to baseline. When CICO was reinstated, Connor and Susan displayed immediate decreases in problem behavior and increases in academic engagement, which were maintained throughout the phase. For Oliver, when CICO was re-implemented, display of problem behavior and academic engagement were initially similar to levels observed during withdrawal. However, he displayed a marked decrease in problem behavior and increase in	returning the DBRC; asked whether the student had materials for class; reviewed the point goal and student performance from the previous day; provided encouragement and suggestions on how to meet the goal; gave the student a new DBRC; and recorded the date, if student attended check-in, if the previous DBRC was signed, and the point goal on a student record form. Check-out: at the end of each day, the student checked out with the CICO mentor who collected the DBRC and provided praise for appropriate behaviors, provided constructive feedback for areas in need of improvement, calculated percentage of points earned, determined whether the



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
			appropriate behavior on the third day and improvements maintained for the remaining nine sessions.	the student to choose a reward if point goal was met, made a copy of the DBRC to send home for signature, and noted whether the DBRC was sent home on a student record form.
				Withdrawal: during withdrawal, observations and teacher ratings occurred in the same manner as baseline. Students were told that they were "taking a break" and no longer needed to carry the DBRC or check-in. Students did not receive feedback or have opportunities to earn rewards.
				Return to intervention: when reimplemented, CICO was conducted as it was in the initial B phase. Data collection procedures were also identical to the initial B phase.
				Mystery Motivator: when a stable or decreasing trend in problem behavior was observed in the return to intervention phase, MM



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
				was introduced. During MM, if a student met the point goal, the CICO mentor presented him with an envelope containing slips of paper marked with an "M" indicating a reward, or an "X" indicating no reward. When a stable or decreasing trend in problem behavior was observed during MM, self-monitoring was introduced.
				Self-monitoring: during self-monitoring, students continued to attend check-ins and check-outs and teachers completed DBRCs in the same manner as during baseline and withdrawal phases, but students did not receive teacher feedback and completed DBRCs themselves.

