

Communities In Schools of North Carolina is leading the national network in providing the most effective student supports and wraparound interventions and supports directly in schools to support students and teachers. Working collaboratively with 400 schools across North Carolina, Communities In Schools impacts the lives of more than 230,000 youth each year. Driven by research-based practices surrounding the best predictors of student success – attendance, behavior, coursework and parent and family engagement – Communities In Schools is changing the picture of education for students across North Carolina. Learn more about Communities In Schools of North Carolina at www.cisnc.org.



The Nonprofit Evaluation Support Program (NESP) is a collaborative effort between two University of North Carolina Greensboro organizations – The SERVE Center and The Office of Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Services (OAERS). NESP's mission is to provide program evaluation services and program evaluation capacity building support to nonprofit and community-based organizations while providing authentic learning experiences for future leaders in the field of program evaluation.



The SERVE Center at The University of North Carolina Greensboro is a university-based research, development, dissemination, evaluation, and technical assistance center. For more than 24 years, SERVE Center has worked to improve K-12 education by providing evidence-based resources and customized technical assistance to policymakers and practitioners.



The University of North Carolina Greensboro (UNCG) is one of the sixteen university campuses of The University of North Carolina. UNCG holds two classifications from the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, as a "research university with high research activity" and for "community engagement" in curriculum, outreach, and partnerships.

Copyright © 2015. Communities In Schools of North Carolina (CISNC). All rights reserved. No portion of this document may be copied or reproduced in whole or in part without the express permission of Communities In Schools of North Carolina.

#### **Communities In Schools of North Carolina**

222 North Person Street, Suite 203 | Raleigh, NC 27601 Phone: (919) 832-2700 | Toll Free: (800) 849-8881 | Fax: (919) 832-5436 http://www.cisnc.org/



# **Table of Contents**

Overview	
CISNC Introduction	
Using Evidenced-Based Strategies	
Problem/Rationale	
Purpose	3
Implementation Plan	
Uses	4
Audiences	4
Materials/Equipment/Space	4
Time	
Sample Intervention – Family Connections	4
Suggested Supplemental Activities	8
Resources	
Measuring Success	
Appendices	
A. References	A-1
B. Research Alignment	



### **Overview**

#### **CISNC Introduction**

In the 2014-2015 school-year, Communities In Schools of North Carolina (CISNC) introduced a framework that aligns site and student metrics and interventions and supports to four areas that have been shown to have the greatest impact on student success: attendance, behavior, coursework, and parent involvement, or ABC+P. Both combined and individually, attendance, behavior, and coursework are among the best predictors of a student's academic success and on-time graduation. While collecting data around ABC+P is critically important to understanding the school and student, it is even more important to use the data to drive high impact intervention and support delivery to empower each student to reach their full potential. To this end, Communities In Schools of North Carolina has partnered with the SERVE Center at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro to design curricula specifically for CIS within the ABC+P framework to enhance student outcomes in school and success in life. This document is one of more than 50 modules developed to support local CIS staff and most importantly the students that are served. We encourage you to explore all of the modules available online at <a href="https://www.cisnc.org">www.cisnc.org</a>.

### **Using Evidenced-Based Strategies**

There are a multitude of strategies that claim to address attendance, but there are few that actually do so for all students. We suggest that schools use an evidence-based, decision-making model to ensure that high quality information informs the decisions made.

The Institute of Education Sciences (IES) at the U.S. Department of Education defines evidence-based decision making as routinely seeking out the best available information on prior research and recent evaluation findings before adopting programs or practices that will demand extensive material or human resources (including both funding and teacher time) and/or affect significant numbers of students (Whitehurst, 2004).

CISNC uses the Response to Intervention (RTI) framework as the basis for its practices. RTI is a multitiered framework of academic and behavioral interventions that require school staff to make instructional decisions based on data. This document focuses on a Tier Two strategy. Tier Two strategies typically focus on students who have not responded to Tier One supports and include supplemental instruction and interventions that are periodically monitored to ensure students are responding to the supports. Tier Two supports are targeted, structured, explicit, and can take place in small groups or general education classrooms.

CISNC calls for the use of evidence-based interventions versus generally researched practices. The National Center on Response to Intervention (NCRTI) defines evidence-based interventions as:



... an intervention for which data from scientific, rigorous research studies have demonstrated (or empirically validated) the efficacy of the intervention. Applying findings from experimental studies, single-case studies, or strong quasi-experimental studies, an evidence-based intervention improves student learning beyond what is expected without that intervention (Center on Response to Intervention [Center on RTI] at American Institutes for Research and the National Center on Intensive Intervention (NCII), 2014, p. 4).

A research based curricula "may" incorporate strategies that have been generally researched, but not studied using a rigorous research design. The following suggestion is based on interventions that have been studied using a scientific, rigorous research design. When incorporated with fidelity and as part of a systematic process, students should positively respond to these strategies.

This document is written to provide schools with strategies to be used with Tier Two targeted students who are chronically absent or are at risk of chronic absenteeism. In the context of our review, we propose two strategies designed to reduce student absenteeism:

- Attendance Buddies
- Family Connections

### Problem/Rationale

North Carolina Average Daily Attendance (ADA) for the 2012-2013 year was reported at 94.1 percent; however, North Carolina does not report chronic absenteeism. Considering only ADA may lead to overlooking absenteeism patterns of many children who may subsequently suffer early in their academic careers due to missed schooling. A National Center for Children in Poverty (NCCP) review of the data revealed that nationally, more than 11 percent of kindergarten students and nearly nine percent of first grade students were chronically absent. By the third grade, chronic absenteeism dropped to six percent (Chang & Romero, 2008).

Research indicates that regular, on-time school attendance is vital to student academic success. Kindergarten students, regardless of gender, socioeconomic status, or ethnicity, who are chronically absent, are likely to show lower gains in reading, math, and general knowledge during the first grade (Change & Romero, 2008). Students who do not attain essential social and academic skills during their early elementary years may require extra assistance to catch up and may also be at greater risk of dropping out of school in later years. Students from low income families often lack the resources to provide the necessary assistance to help their children make up for skills not previously acquired (Chang & Romero, 2008).

Chronic absenteeism is one of the major problems faced by teachers and administrators. When students miss too many school days, it can negatively impact their academic



achievement, be disruptive to classroom instruction as teachers have to shift attention to students who need to catch up with the rest of the class, as well as have a negative effect on the overall school climate. Students who do not attend school or will not attend school may be positively influenced by a stronger sense of engagement and belonging at school. Students who feel that adults in school care about them and value them may be more likely to attend school (Chang & Romero, 2008).

Parents and families have a critical role in ensuring their students attend school regularly and on time; therefore, it is essential that parents and families understand the advantages of regular attendance for their child's academic success. Schools that understand the reasons for student absences can better assist parents in establishing routines and overcoming other barriers related to student absenteeism.

Monitoring attendance daily is the first step schools can take to identify students who may experience chronic absenteeism. Schools will then need to explore reasons students are absent from schools in order to find solutions to reduce absenteeism.

Student absenteeism may fall into three categories:

- 1. those who cannot attend school,
- 2. those who will not attend school, and
- 3. those who do not attend school (Balfanz & Byrnes, 2012).

Students' academic achievement is most strongly associated with high family expectations for success as well as constant communication with students about their school activities (Castro et al., 2015). Structured school-family partnership programs that equip families to help their child academically, encourage greater participation in their child's academic journey, and connect with families at all income and education levels will attract families who are unlikely to become involved on their own (Epstein & Dauber, 1991). Similarly, promoting a school-wide culture and climate that encourages positive behaviors while reinforcing the school's expectations, including regular, on-time attendance, is one of the strategies that schools can use to influence student outcomes (Flannery, Sugai, & Anderson, 2009).

## **Purpose**

The purpose of this document is to provide a process for planning, implementing, and evaluating a Family Connections program in elementary schools to help students and families better engage with school staff and community resources to reduce barriers and increase school attendance



## **Implementation Plan**

#### **Uses**

Student Support Specialists can use the information provided in this guide to develop a Family Connections program to be used with families whose students have been identified as chronically absent or exhibit indicators of becoming chronically absent.

#### **Audiences**

The primary audience is the Student Support Specialist.

### Materials/Equipment/Space

- Student data
- List/directory of community resources
- Incentives for students

Note: For presentations, check for access to computer, Smartboard or data projector and screen, relevant power cords, and remote slide advancer.

#### **Time**

The Student Support Specialist will need to dedicate time:

- Daily/weekly: connect with students and families, conduct home visits, attend parent-teacher conferences, etc.
- Daily: 1.5-2 hours to lead or facilitate after-school learning activities (e.g., Career Camp).
- Monthly: 1-1.5 hours monthly for family support network meetings.
- Semester: 2-4 hours per semester to review and analyze data with School Attendance Team and/or teachers, to evaluate implementation of the program, and to assess whether additional interventions/support services may be necessary for specific students.

## Sample Intervention - Family Connections

Activity	Lead Responsibility	Process Notes
Set Goals for the	Student Support	Work with the School Attendance Team early in the
Family Connections	Specialist	school year (e.g., within the first few weeks of school) to
Program*		identify positive ways to connect students and families
		to school staff and provide extra support to overcome
(*Adapted from		barriers to attendance. It is important that students
Attendance Works		and families do not feel singled out or branded because
PEOPLE strategy. For		of the extra support. Also, the Family Connections
additional		program can/should be integrated with other Positive
information, visit		Behavior Interventions (PBIS) or Response to
http://www.attendanc		Intervention (RTI) strategies.



Activity	Lead Responsibility	Process Notes
eworks.org/tools-		Connect with community agencies and develop a
people/.)		directory of who can provide extra family supports.
, ,		- Solicit additional supports that can be used as
		incentives for families (e.g., gifts for students who
		meet attendance goals).
Identify Students	School Attendance	Use data to identify students who may benefit from the
•	Team	Family Connections program. Consider:
		- Chronic absence (student missed 10% or more of
		school in the prior year).
		- Students who missed 2 days in the first two weeks of
		school, 2-3 days in the first month, or four days in
		the first 8 weeks of school.
		- Students/Families with low income.
		- Students in transitional grades (e.g., Kindergarten).
		- Discipline referrals.
		- Teacher referrals.
		*N . M . C . L . C C
		*Note: The Student Support Specialist will need to sign
		a confidentiality agreement with the school to view
		individual student data. See sample confidentiality form
		at http://www.attendanceworks.org/wordpress/wp-
		content/uploads/2011/06/Confi-Agreement-CBO-5-4- 11.pdf
Connect and Engage	Student Support	Work with student to set personal
Students	Specialist	attendance/behavioral goals.
Statemen	Specialise	- Work with student to develop personal strategies for
		meeting goals.
		- Consider incentives for meeting goals and/or
		showing marked improvements.
		- Track daily student attendance. Follow-up
		immediately with absent students and families in a
		positive way. For example, check in to make sure
		that everything is alright with student rather than to
		reprimand for missed school day.
		- Meet with student and parents together to share
		student's personal goals. Explore ways to support
		these goals in school and at home.
		- Connect student to personal mentor/buddy. See
		Attendance Buddies module.
		Expose student to positive learning activities to foster
		improved attendance and behavior. For example,
		develop engaging before-or-after school programs or
		activities matched to student interest and level of need.
		Sample Program: After-School Career Camp
		Camp can be broken into units (e.g., STEM, life skills,
		etc.).
		- Set daily school attendance requirement as criteria
		for participation (i.e., no school, no camp).
		- Send special letters of invitation to at-risk students



Activity	Lead Responsibility	Process Notes
Connect with Families	Student Support Specialist	to participate in the program.  Invite teachers, parents and community leaders to lead highly interactive sessions (e.g., hands on activities).  Schedule one special monthly family session where family members are also "students" and interactive activities are designed for family involvement.  Incorporate regular (e.g., monthly) weekend service learning projects that involve the entire family. For example, one activity could be to make soap together. An add-on to the activity could be to have the family serve at a local soup kitchen or homeless shelter and give away the soap.  Allow students to give suggestions for lesson topics and speakers.  Allocate time for students to work on homework/provide homework support.  Require parental contract/agreement for student participation. Share program benefits for students/families (e.g., technical/vocational skills,, academic support, after-school care, etc.). Make school attendance requirements explicit.  Secure community participation to help support the program (e.g., donations/funding for supplies, snacks, etc.).  Work with Student Support Team to establish and implement a Student Attendance Success Plan.  Invite parents to be part of the planning process.  Work with teachers as a liaison/additional point of contact for families. For example, be available to participate in parent-teacher conferences to provide extra support to families.  Call families regularly to discuss student progress.  Offer additional resources.  Conduct home visits with families to establish faceto-face connection and to find out/monitor areas where extra support can be provided (e.g., transportation, health needs, social services, etc.).  Send home middle of the year letter  Praise student and family for their efforts, if improvement shown. Encourage them to keep up the good work.
		<ul> <li>Incorporate school attendance policy reminder.</li> <li>Access Student Attendance Success Plan documents (in English and Spanish) at:</li> </ul>
		http://www.attendanceworks.org/tools/for-parents/student-success-plan-facilitator-handout  Establish a family support network Facilitate a monthly meeting to help families build



Activity	Lead Responsibility	Process Notes
		<ul> <li>relationships with other families in the community.</li> <li>Build parental knowledge and skills to academically support and set high expectations and boundaries for their child.</li> <li>Encourage shared ownership for success of network. For example, ask for volunteers to lead the sessions,</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>bring food, establish carpools, share experiences, etc.</li> <li>Establish a transportation network (e.g., carpool, parent buddy system, etc.) to ensure that students attend school and arrive on time.</li> <li>Recruit network members to serve as mentors/tutors/volunteers for learning activities.</li> <li>Invite new families to the school/community to participate.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Work with community agencies to promote a "Positive Attendance Campaign."</li> <li>For example, place posters around the community in places frequented by families (e.g., recreation centers, libraries, health centers, bus stops, supermarkets, etc.).</li> <li>Contact local radio and TV stations to develop/play regular public service announcements about the importance of daily attendance on student success.</li> </ul>
		Work with school district to increase community awareness by sharing attendance statistics and promoting district-wide goals, as well as focus on priority schools/communities.
		Districts can publicly lend their support/resources to develop parent education programs, support afterschool programs, etc.
Monitor Progress	Student Support Specialist	<ul> <li>Work with the School Attendance Team to track student progress.</li> <li>Assess student data quarterly.</li> <li>Assess Family Connections program to identify what is working, not effective or areas that can be improved. Look for ways to add additional supports to families.         <ul> <li>Solicit regular feedback from teachers, school administrators/staff, families, community partners, etc.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Award quarterly certificates to students with perfect attendance or who have shown improvement.</li> <li>Organize an end of semester celebration for meeting attendance goals.</li> <li>Assess which students/families can be transitioned out of the Family Connections program and/or which students may need more individualized intensive services (e.g., case management).</li> </ul>



### **Suggested Supplemental Activities**

Some additional Tier Two strategies to foster regular attendance include:

- Help families and students connect with other students to travel to school together (whether by bus, car pool, or walking).
  - Identify how students with poor attendance typically travel to school both mode and route.
  - o Identify how students who live in close proximity and exhibit good attendance typically travel to school both mode and route.
  - Reach out to parents to try and connect them with other parents to help them create a support network.
    - Should be informal, not forced, and without revealing confidential information to either party.
      - For example, ask family member "Are you aware of other families who have students at the same school who live close by with whom you could car pool? Are you aware of other students living close by who ride the same bus?" If the person, replies with "yes" or "possibly" then ask if they would feel comfortable contacting the family.
      - Consider making introductions at PTA/PTO meetings or other school events.
  - Reach out to students to try and connect them with other students to help them develop relationships and a support network.
- Initiate student morning meet and greet program.
  - Have upperclassmen available to meet greet students each morning at bus and car pool drop offs.
    - Upperclassmen form an informal line to shuttle students into the building.
    - Students arrive into the school building safely and are greeted by multiple older students along the way.
    - Upperclassmen have chance to serve as mentors and set tone for appropriate behaviors upon arriving at school and interacting with the school community.
    - Helps car pool traffic to continue moving and provides reinforcement to parents that their child will enter the school building safely.
  - Upper grade classrooms can rotate responsibilities for morning meet and greet duty.
    - Opportunity to reinforce expectations and develop mentoring skills among upperclassmen.
- Daily attendance monitoring.
  - Track daily attendance of students who have a history of chronic absenteeism or exhibit warning signs of becoming chronically absent.
    - Contact parents of students who are absent on the day (morning) that the student is absent.



- Track calls, whether parent was aware student is absent, reason for absence.
  - Be sure to maintain a positive tone that expresses concern about the student's well-being, rather than a punitive tone.
- Check in with student weekly and review attendance for the past week. Congratulate students on good attendance and work with students who continue to have absences to identify reasons and possible solutions.



#### Resources

#### Attendance Works - <a href="http://www.attendanceworks.org/">http://www.attendanceworks.org/</a>

The Power of Positive Connections

http://www.attendanceworks.org/tools/assessments/power-positive-connections-toolkit/

Engage Students and Families with Personalized Outreach

http://www.attendanceworks.org/engage-students-and-families-with-personalized-outreach/

The following optional resources will provide additional information and suggestions for enhancing or extending activities. Read through the resources carefully to become familiar with any concepts and instructions as they may pertain to the content and the extension of activities.

#### Attendance Works - <a href="http://www.attendanceworks.org/">http://www.attendanceworks.org/</a>

Student Attendance Success Plan documents

http://www.attendanceworks.org/tools/for-parents/student-success-plan-

facilitator-handout/

**Key Attendance Messages** 

http://awareness.attendanceworks.org/wp-

content/uploads/2014/03/AAMMessages 2014.pdf

Handouts for Parents

http://www.attendanceworks.org/tools/for-parents/parent-handouts/

#### National Network of Partnership Schools (Johns Hopkins University)

http://www.jhucsos.com/

#### **National PTA**

Tips for Teachers on Family Engagement <a href="http://www.pta.org/">http://www.pta.org/</a>

#### **National Mentoring Partnership**

Elements of Effective Practice for Mentoring: A Checklist for Mentoring Programs. <a href="http://www.mentoring.org/downloads/mentoring\_1225.pdf">http://www.mentoring.org/downloads/mentoring\_1225.pdf</a>

Note: All posters, images, and activity guides identified are copyright cleared for non-commercial use.



## **Measuring Success**

Identifying outcomes and collecting data to measure the success of the intervention can help track the quality of implementation as well as the effectiveness of the intervention.

#### **Students**

Track individual student attendance data and chart (e.g., baseline data; monthly attendance monthly; interventions/support services provided).

Assess students' attitudes and knowledge about the importance of school attendance. Ask:

- Why they think coming to school every day will help them to do better in school.
- What they might miss out on when not at school.
- To name some responsibilities that comes with being a student.
- To identify things they can do to prepare for school and to arrive on time.

Assess impact of learning activities (e.g., Career camp, service learning projects, etc.) on:

- Attitudes about going to school.
- Feelings of connectedness/belongingness at school.
- Importance of setting and meeting personal goals.
- Academic progress.

#### **Families**

Collect data from families concerning their experiences with the Family Connections Program:

- Whether they felt more connected to the school by having someone to communicate with regarding their child's attendance (including obstacles to regular, on-time attendance).
- How would they rate the frequency and quality of communication from the school regarding their child's attendance?
- Observed improvements in their child's attitudes, behavior and academics since participating in the Family Connections program?
- What were the most meaningful aspects of Family Connections Program? Areas for improvement?

#### **School Staff**

Ask staff participating in the Family Connections program:

- About their experiences and perceived benefits for students and staff, as well as, challenges in implementing the program.
- Additional areas where school-family partnerships could be supported.



# **Appendices**

- A. References
- **B.** Research Alignment



## **Appendix A: References**

- Allensworth, E. M., Gwynne, J. A., Moore, P., & de la Torre, M. (2014). Looking forward to high school and college: Middle grade indicators and readiness in Chicago public schools. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Consortium on Chicago School Research. Retrieved from <a href="https://ccsr.uchicago.edu/sites/default/files/publications/Middle%20Grades%20Report.pdf">https://ccsr.uchicago.edu/sites/default/files/publications/Middle%20Grades%20Report.pdf</a>
- Balfanz, R., & Byrnes, V., (2012). The Importance of Being in School: A Report on Absenteeism in the Nation's Public Schools. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Center for Social Organization of Schools.
- Castro, M., Exposito-Casas, E., Lopez-Martin, E., Lizasoain, L., Navarro-Asencio, E., & Gaviria, J. L. (2015). Parental involvement on student academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 14, 33-46.
- Center on Response to Intervention (Center on RTI) at American Institutes for Research and the National Center on Intensive Intervention (NCII), (March 2014). *RTI glossary of terms*. Center on RTI and NCII: Washington, DC.
- Chang, H. N., & Romero, M. (2008, September). *Present, engaged, and accounted for: The critical importance of addressing chronic absence in the early grades*. New York, NY: National Center for Children in Poverty. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.nccp.org/publications/pdf/text\_837.pdf">http://www.nccp.org/publications/pdf/text\_837.pdf</a>
- Epstein, J. L., & Dauber, S. L. (1991). School programs and teacher practices of parent involvement in inner-city elementary and middle schools. *The Elementary School Journal*, *91*(3), 289-305.
- Flannery, K. B., Sugai, G., & Anderson, C. M. (2009). School-wide positive behavior support in high school: Early lessons learned. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 11,* 133-145.
- Whitehurst, G. J. (2004, April). *Making education evidence-based: Premises, principles, pragmatics, and politics.* Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Institute for Policy Research, Distinguished Public Policy Lecture Series. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.northwestern.edu/ipr/events/lectures/DPPL-Whitehurst.pdf">http://www.northwestern.edu/ipr/events/lectures/DPPL-Whitehurst.pdf</a>



# **Appendix B: Research Alignment**

Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
Baker, D., & Jansen, J. (2000). Using groups to reduce elementary school absenteeism. Social Work in Education, 22(1), 46-53.	Described the use of attendance groups among elementary school students to reduce absenteeism.  Participating students were grouped by grade level, with 1st and 2nd graders grouped together and 3-5th graders grouped together. Both groups focused on three goals: improving attendance, developing a more positive attitude toward school and learning, and enhancing self-esteem.	14 students at one elementary school.	Of the 14 group members, 13 had fewer absences while the group was in progress. The mean number of absences for the Primary group pretest was 9.4 and 2.7 posttest. The mean number of absences for the Secondary group pretest was 9.4 and 2.7 posttest as well. All 14 students exhibited improved attitudes toward school and learning. Results indicated improved self-esteem in all group members, as measured by teacher report for primary students and the Piers-Harris Children's Self-Concept Scale Profile for 3-5th graders.	The groups met weekly for a four-month period beginning in February. The primary group met each Monday for 20 to 25 minutes and the secondary group met for 35 minutes every Tuesday morning. Themes were adopted by both groups and incorporated into many group activities: "I'm cool, I don't miss school" and "School is cool."



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
Cole, J. F. (2011). Interventions to combat the many facets of absenteeism: Action research. Georgia Schools Counselors Association Journal, 18(1), 62-70.	This article described an AR project on absenteeism to support students in an elementary school. The PI wanted to examine if students' attendance would improve if they felt engaged in the school community.  The program design included a check-in system with a student advisory team (SAT) member. The AR provided participants the opportunity to earn rewards throughout AR based on their attendance. The students used a punch card system for the daily check in and were eligible for a reward if they were at school all week.	10 students; 5 in kindergarten and 5 in 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade.	Baseline data indicated that group attendees had an average of 8.8 absences. After the six week intervention, the attendees had an average of 1.7 absences. Seventy percent of the students increased attendance during the AR.	The intervention, Operation SCATT (School Counts ALL The Time), lasted six weeks. A letter and brochure were sent home to parents of the participants to inform them of the program to track attendance.  The participants met in the school's cafeteria for an overview of the program where they learned about the daily check-in and rewards.  Students check in with a SAT member to receive a stamp for being present. Incentives are rewarded for stamps.



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
Fantuzzo, J., Grim, S., &	The purpose of the	567 truant students	Unexcused absences	Common to both
Hazan, H. (2005).	present study was to	from elementary,	were measured at four	community-based family
Project START: An	conduct an evaluation of	middle and high	time periods: baseline,	court and traditional
evaluation of a	a citywide	school, in one school	0-30 days, 30-60 days,	family court interventions
community-wide	implementation of	district.	and 1 year post court.	are the following basic
school-based	Project START. Project			steps: (a) referred truants
intervention to reduce	START (Stop Truancy			and their legal guardians
truancy. Psychology in	And Recommend		types of court	are summoned to family
the Schools, 42(6),	Treatment) is a		demonstrated	court, (b) each case is
657-667.	collaborative interagency		significant reductions in	reviewed by a court
	effort including the city		absence rates between	master, who may require
	school district, judicial		baseline and 30 days	multiple court visits, (c)
	system, Department of		post disposition and	the court master
	Human Services, and		evidenced significantly	determines the
	community social service		lower absence rates	disposition of the case
	organizations to reduce		during this period	and orders the next steps,
	truancy through		compared to non-	and (d) court sanctions
	community-based		referred truants. During	are in place if parents do
	interventions.		the third period, truants	not comply, including
			referred to community-	involvement of children's
	The students were		based court continued	protective services.
	chosen for the		to demonstrate an	
	intervention if they had a		absence rate	The community based
	history of 25 or more		significantly lower than	court plan also involved
	unexcused absences and		baseline. At 1 year post	two major elements: the
	a pattern of attendance		court, the community-	location of court
	problems.		based court students	proceedings and the
	Students were placed in		returned to baseline	involvement of



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
	three groups: multidimensional, community-based family court (Project START), traditional, one dimensional family court, and non-referred truants. Each group contained 189 students.		while traditional and non-referred students had an increase in unexcused absences.	community-based service providers. Courtrooms were created within designated school buildings so barriers to court participation were reduced. Also, caseworkers from service organizations were present in the community-based court to promote family utilization of community services.
				Traditional family court required truants and families to attend the central city municipal family court and no community-based service providers participated in the court proceedings.
Ford, J., & Sutphen, R. D. (1996). Early intervention to improve attendance in	This article described the development of an attendance incentive program in the	9 students.	Comparisons of the pre and post intervention absences of the children in the focus program	The focus program was implemented in two phases: first, an intensive intervention during the



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
elementary school for at-risk children: A pilot program. Social Work in Education, 18(2), 95-102.	elementary setting. The program included both a school-wide attendance promotion and a focus program that developed individual intervention plans for high-risk children. We will only examine the implementation and results of the focus program.		were positive overall. Overall, there was a significant decrease in average absences for the group from 7.5 in the first quarter to 5.1 in the second quarter, p = .037. During the maintenance phase average absences increased from the intensive quarter but still remained lower than in the initial quarter.	second quarter of the school year, then a maintenance program to be continued over the 18 weeks of the third and fourth quarters of the school year. The focus intervention was also implemented using a school-based and home-based approach.  School-based intervention: each child was provided with an attendance chart that was monitored by social work students. During the intensive phase, the social work students met with each child on a daily basis and gave verbal praise and encouragement for attending school. Each child also had daily counseling sessions with the social work students lasting from 15 minutes



				to an hour. During the
				maintenance phase of the program, social work students met with each child on a weekly basis to check attendance charts, award tokens and provide counseling and encouragement.  Home based intervention: individualized family interventions were developed to address specific problem areas. Home visits were made and parents were called to encourage them to become more attentive to and involved in their children's school activities and responsibilities.
Huddleston, M. R. pr	he TCDP is a voluntary rogram that bridges the ommunication barrier	74 elementary and middle school students in the	Overall, the rate of unexcused absences for the TCDP students	After a student is referred to TCDP, teachers interview these students



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
court diversion program of the family court, warren circuit court division III, Bowling Green, Kentucky: An evaluation of impact on attendance and academic performance. Family Court Review, 44(4), 683-695.	between parents and schools in order to promote the best interests of the children through counseling and open communication about the difficulties faced by the family and child that contribute to the truancy problem.  Students are referred to the program after they have accumulated enough unexcused absences or tardies to be considered truant.	intervention group matched with 74 students in the control group.	dropped significantly during their participation in the intervention (Pre-TCDP mean = 5.23; D During-TCDP mean = 2.09).  For students in elementary school, during and after participation in TCDP, truant students had rates of unexcused absences equivalent to those of non-truant control students (Pre-TCDP mean= 4.40; During TCDP mean=1.72; After TCDP mean= .82).	to ascertain their willingness to participate in the program. The TCDP mails letters to parents of truant children to give them the opportunity to circumvent formal court proceedings by participating in TCDP.  Scholastic and personal data is collected on the child; the judge meets with children, parents, counselors, and principals to perform an initial interview (15-30 minutes). Parents and students complete consent forms and behavior contracts. Case plans are then generated for each family.  The court judge and director of TCDP meet with students biweekly for the duration of the



Citation	Brief Summary of Strategy	Sample Size	Impact/Evidence of Effectiveness	Implementation
				treatment. Interventions that ensure continued success of the child are also provided.

# **Best/Promising Practices**

Promising Practice	Source(s)	Comments/ Limitations
PEOPLE Strategy	Count Me In! Examples of attendance strategies and interventions: A comprehensive datadriven approach. http://countmeinmaine.org/site/	Priority Early Outreach through Positive Linkages and Engagement (PEOPLE) is a strategy that aims to develop relationships with at-risk students and provides positive supports that facilitate students attending school every day.  Activities:  Review attendance data to identify common patterns and needs.  Award certificates to students with good and improved attendance.  Share attendance goals with community.  Connect teachers with students and families.  Strategically assign staff members to follow up with absent students and their families.  Meet with family regarding those students whose attendance rates did not improve.  Results showed increased awareness in the community about school and student attendance. Chronic absence rates were reduced from 15% in



	2010-11 to 8% in 2011-12. Academic achievement rose 30 points in the last year as a result of the increased time in school.
Count Me In! Examples of attendance strategies and interventions: A comprehensive datadriven approach.	The urban attendance program targets elementary students who are chronically absent and live within walking distance of the school. The program relies on volunteers meeting students outside their homes and walking with small groups of students to school.  Activities:
rg/site/	<ul> <li>Analyzing student data.</li> <li>Working with families to identify barriers to getting children to school.</li> <li>Target small group of students for initial implementation.</li> <li>Complete home visits.</li> <li>Recruit, screen, and train volunteers.</li> </ul>
	Results showed increased attendance and student engagement. The program initially started with 6 students, by the end of the year it expanded to 30 students in two schools. It also expanded to the summer meals and literacy program.
Lehr, C., Sinclair, M., & Christenson, S.L. (2004). Addressing student engagement and truancy	"Monitors" who keep in contact with students and families over the course of the program; relationship building, monitoring and individualized and timely intervention with students at risk for dropping out of school.
prevention during the elementary school years: A replication study of the check and connect model.	Check & Connect has been implemented with a variety of student populations, students in urban and suburban settings, and students attending middle and high school.  Prior to referral, 83% of Check & Connect students were in the disengaged
	tetendance strategies and interventions: A comprehensive dataliven approach. http://countmeinmaine.og/site/  when, C., Sinclair, M., & Christenson, S.L. (2004). Addressing student engagement and truancy brevention during the elementary school years: A replication study of the



Promising Practice	Source(s)	Comments/ Limitations
	Students Placed at Risk (JESPAR), 9(3), 279-301.	categories (absent 2-20 days/month). After at least two years with the program it was down to 60%. This reflects a 28% reduction in the incidence of students across the disengaged categories.
		About 40% of Check & Connect students were engaged and regularly attending school (0-1 days absent per month). This reflects an improvement of 135% over baseline behavior.
Truancy Assessment and Service Centers (TASC)	Rhodes, J. L., Thomas, J. M., Lemieux, C. M., Cain, D. S., & Guin, C. C. (2010). Truancy assessment and service centers (TASC): Engaging elementary school children and their families. <i>School Social Work</i> , <i>35</i> (1), 83-100.	(Pilot Program) Incorporates a holistic and multilevel assessment of family functioning, as well as identifies individual-, family-, school-, and community-level targets for intervention. TASC works with elementary school-age children and their families to decrease chronic absenteeism and social risks as well as to increase protective factors.  Preliminary results suggest (data from 2007-2008 pilot) that there was 36% reduction in truancy among TASC participants.

